

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/829,162	04/22/2004	Hirofumi Dodoro	K06-169665M/TBS	5745	
21254 7	7590 11/27/2006		EXAM	NER	
MCGINN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP, PLLC 8321 OLD COURTHOUSE ROAD			YEE, DEBORAH		
SUITE 200	OKTHOODE ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
VIENNA, VA	22182-3817		1742		

DATE MAILED: 11/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/829,162	DODORO ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Deborah Yee	1742		

	Deboran Yee	1742	•
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 06 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aftitice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 Cl	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		•
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejection	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70		E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		136(a) and the appropria	te extension fee
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, l (a) They raise new issues that would require further contains 	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	ter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	iected claims	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of infanty for	colou olumo.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13	21 See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		, in place of the control of the con	(
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).		,	3
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro-		II be entered and an e	explanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) allowed Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 2</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. 	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). <u>.</u>		
13. Other:		à	
 .		10 mm 1 7	el
•	9	Deborah Yee	_
		Primary Examiner	

Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1742

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The claimed Cr range of "at least 5.0% and less than 10%" fails to define patentable novelty over Tanaka '042 and Tanaka '686 steels containing 10 to 22% Cr and 10 to 20% Cr, respectively. Note that although claim 1 recites <10%Cr, there is nothing to show (e.g. comparative test data) that it is critical or that it involves anything more than judicious selection. Note Applicant's specification on page 4 discloses 5 to 15%Cr as permissible and there is no test data to show that < 10% Cr is necessary to improve dampability. It was submitted that lower Cr is critical in order to reduce coarse eutectic carbides which, in turn, adversely affect the acoustic performance of rolling bearings but this concept is already taught by prior art, see Tanaka '686 on lines 8-50 in column 2.